ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORM

ELECTION DATE: MARCH 3, 20 JURISDICTION: MAY OF DUARY	20 MEASURE I.D. (if any): MEASURES					
(Please mark (x) in the appropriate box)						
☐ Argument in Favor ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against	☐ Argument Against ☑ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor					

Statements will be printed in uniform type, style and spacing. Use block paragraphs and single space format. Text submitted indented or centered will be typeset in block paragraph form. Entire statements in all capital letters are not acceptable. Indentations, circles, stars, dots, italics and/or bullets cannot be accommodated. However, you may use dashes/hyphens. Words to be printed in boldface type, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED are to be clearly indicated. Any combinations of enhanced words are counted as one word. All statements should be checked by the authors for spelling and punctuation as the elections official is not permitted to edit any material contained therein. NOTE: Rebuttal arguments are not direct arguments. For example, a rebuttal to a direct argument in favor of a measure is NOT a direct argument against a measure. Please also note that rebuttal arguments are allowed only when both a direct argument for AND against a measure are filed.

ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Please attach typed statement to this form. Statements should be typed in upper and lower case letters. Statement will be typeset in the Official Sample Ballot Booklet using TIMES NEW ROMAN font in 11 point size. However, statements can be submitted using any standard font.

SER ATTACHES

PROJECT CODE NUMBER: ELECTION DEPUTY:

DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OF ARGUMENTS OR REBUTTALS (Elections Code Section 9600)

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following declaration to be signed by each author of the argument/rebuttal. Names and titles listed will be printed in the Voter Information portion of the Official Sample Ballot Booklet in the order provided below.

The under	signed author(s) of the:	☐ Argument in Favor☐ Argument Against		☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against ☑ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor	
of ballot m	α -	(name and/or letter)	at the	(title of election)	
election fo	7 17 18 C4 (date)	3 2020	_hereby stat	e that such argument is true and correct to	
best of his	/her/their knowledge and b	pelief.		7	
1	HBN RY B Pritte RESTITLE TO Appe	ed Name		Signature DELAMBER 17. 2 Date	03
2. —	Print	ed Name		Signature	
	Title to Appe	ear on Argument		Date	
3.	Print	ed Name		Signature	
	Title to Appe	ear on Argument		Date	
4.	Printe	ed Name		Signature	
Title to Appear on Argument			Date		
5.	Printe	ed Name	_	Signature	
	Title to Appe	ear on Argument		Date	\dashv
designated my contac Mailing Ad	t information,	rgument/rebuttal. Please hoti LH HORN R 9388 Sp	y BA fy me of any 1UK MA Evening	am the questions pertaining to this filing. Below E-Mail Address: FRABIRA HBC	
	Daytii	IIV.	Lverinig	I ax	Prototoria
	OFFICE	USE ONLY		Time Stamp	
NUMBER O	AE WORDS:	Word Counts	5		
I NUMBER U	N VVONDO.	1	1		

Vote no on measure D

Why do they always use reducing public safety and community services as a scare tactic to get you, the voter, to increase taxes?

It's always been the easy way out to tax the Duarte consumer.

Business raises sales tax. This City Council has never agressively pursued bringing new business into Duarte, as shown by the vacancies along Huntington Drive. Instead, once again, the burden of a regressive tax is being passed onto the backs of the Duarte consumer.

Remember when the City Council unnecessarily declared a fiscal emergency in June of 2019? They have since approved spending of an additional \$454,539 in 'budget ammendments'. They call it 'budget amendments', I call it irresponsible overspending.

The mayor claims in her statement that historically the City Council has reduced staffing. Historically, we had five council members. Today we have seven. Two new districts were gerrymandered into the city to allow all council members to keep their seats. The cost of two additional council members, a council aide, benefits, and staff to support a 7 member city council surpasses \$100,000. More irresponsible spending at our expense for their benefit.

Instead of doing things to fiscally decrease spending, they've done the opposite. For years they've given us promises with no results. Don't be fooled by their scare tactics, hold them accountable for their actions.

Vote no on measure D